## Image filtering



16-385 Computer Vision

## Start-of-semester survey (responses so far)

Which three weekdays would be best for office hours?
22 responses


# Start-of-semester survey (responses so far) 

Would you prefer to have in person office hours, or remote office hours?
22 responses


- Remote


## Top comments on course website

nssampat commented on slide_034 of Course Introduction (4 days ago)

Deep fakes are one of the reasons why we must discuss ethics when it comes to CV and Al , as anyone can impersonate anyone else and make them appear to say or do certain things
achekuri commented on slide_034 of Course Introduction (4 days ago)
Deepfake Tom Cruise was a huge controversy on impersonation Tiktok because a lot of impersonators that actually look like Tom Cruise thought using deepfakes isn't fair.

## Overview of today's lecture

- Types of image transformations.
- Point image processing.
- Linear shift-invariant image filtering.
- Convolution.
- Image gradients.


## Slide credits

Most of these slides were adapted directly from:

- Kris Kitani (15-463, Fall 2016).

Inspiration and some examples also came from:

- Fredo Durand (Digital and Computational Photography, MIT).
- Kayvon Fatahalian (15-769, Fall 2016).

Types of image transformations

## What is an image?



## What is an image?



A (color) image is a 3D tensor
of numbers.

## What is an image?

Each channel is a 2D array of numbers.

How many bits are the intensity values? ine intensity values?

color image patch

colorized for visualization

actual intensity values per channel


## What is an image?


grayscale image

What is the range of the image function $f$ ?


A (grayscale) image is a 2D function.

## What types of image transformations can we do?



## What types of image transformations can we do?



## What types of image filtering can we do?

Point Operation

point processing

Neighborhood Operation


"filtering"

## Point processing

## Examples of point processing

original
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lower contrast

non-linear lower contrast


lighten

raise contrast

non-linear raise contrast


How would you implement these? Examples of point processing
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How would you implement these? Examples of point processing
original
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raise contrast
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$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{1 / 3} \times 255
$$

non-linear raise contrast


How would you implement these?

Examples of point processing
original

$x$
invert

darken

$x-128$
lighten

lower contrast

$\frac{x}{2}$
raise contrast

non-linear lower contrast


$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{1 / 3} \times 255
$$

non-linear raise contrast


$$
255-x
$$

How would you implement these?

Examples of point processing
original

$x$
invert

$255-x$
darken

$x-128$
lighten

lower contrast

$\frac{x}{2}$
raise contrast

non-linear lower contrast


$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{1 / 3} \times 255
$$

non-linear raise contrast

$x+128$

How would you implement these?

Examples of point processing
original

$x$
invert

$255-x$
darken

$x-128$
lighten

$x+128$
lower contrast

$\frac{x}{2}$
raise contrast

$x \times 2$
non-linear lower contrast


$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{1 / 3} \times 255
$$

non-linear raise contrast


How would you implement these?

Examples of point processing
original

$x$
invert

$255-x$
darken

$x-128$
lighten

$x+128$
lower contrast

$\frac{x}{2}$
raise contrast

$x \times 2$
non-linear lower contrast


$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{1 / 3} \times 255
$$

non-linear raise contrast


$$
\left(\frac{x}{255}\right)^{2} \times 255
$$

## Many other types of point processing


camera output
image after stylistic tonemapping

## Many other types of point processing



## Linear shift-invariant image filtering

## Linear shift-invariant image filtering

- Replace each pixel by a linear combination of its neighbors (and possibly itself).
- The combination is determined by the filter's kernel.
- The same kernel is shifted to all pixel locations so that all pixels use the same linear combination of their neighbors.


## Example: the box filter

- also known as the 2D rect (not rekt) filter
- also known as the square mean filter

kernel $g[\cdot, \cdot]=\frac{1}{9}$| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

- replaces pixel with local average
- has smoothing (blurring) effect



## Let's run the box filter


note that we assume that the kernel coordinates are centered
$f[\cdot, \cdot]$

$h[\cdot, \cdot]$


$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g \underset{\text { filter }}{g} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g \underset{\text { filter }}{g} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[k, l] f[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter


image $f[\cdot, \cdot]$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

output $h[\cdot, \cdot]$

$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter
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\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
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## Let's run the box filter
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\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter
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\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[k, l] f[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter
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\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[k, l] f[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g \underset{\text { filter }}{ } g[k, l] \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter


image $f[\cdot, \cdot]$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

output $\quad h[\cdot, \cdot]$


$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\text { filter }}{g} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{g}
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} g[k, l] \underset{\text { filter }}{ } \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\text { filter }}{g} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{g}
$$

## Let's run the box filter



$$
\underset{\substack{\text { output }}}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\substack{\text { filter }}}{g[k, l]} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{ }[m+k, n+l]
$$

## ... and the result is



$$
\underset{\text { output }}{h[m, n]}=\sum_{k, l} \underset{\text { filter }}{g} \underset{\text { image (signal) }}{g}
$$

Some more realistic examples


## Some more realistic examples



## Some more realistic examples



## Convolution

## Convolution for 1D continuous signals

Definition of filtering as convolution:


## Convolution for 1D continuous signals

Definition of filtering as convolution:


Consider the box filter example:
1D continuous

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1 & |x| \leq 0.5 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$


filtering output is a blurred version of $g$

$$
(f * g)(x)=\int_{-0.5}^{0.5} g(x-y) d y
$$

## Convolution for 2D discrete signals

Definition of filtering as convolution:


## Convolution for 2D discrete signals

Definition of filtering as convolution:


If the filter $f(i, j)$ is non-zero only within $-1 \leq i, j \leq 1$, then

$$
(f * I)(x, y)=\sum_{i, j=-1}^{1} f(i, j) I(x-i, y-j)
$$

The kernel we saw earlier is the $3 \times 3$ matrix representation of $f(i, j)$.

## Convolution vs correlation

Definition of filtering as convolution:

$$
(f * I)(x, y)=\sum_{i, j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(i, j) I(x-i, y-j)
$$

Definition of filtering as correlation:

$$
(f * I)(x, y)=\sum_{i, j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(i, j) I(x+i, y+j)
$$

- Most of the time won't matter, because our kernels will be symmetric.
- Will be important when we discuss frequency-domain filtering (lectures 5-6).


## Separable filters

A 2D filter is separable if it can be written as the product of a "column" and a "row".

| example: box filter | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | = |  |  | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | row |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

What is the rank of this filter matrix?

## Separable filters

A 2D filter is separable if it can be written as the product of a "column" and a "row".

| example: box filter | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | $=$ |  |  | * | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | row |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Why is this important?

## Separable filters

A 2D filter is separable if it can be written as the product of a "column" and a "row".


2D convolution with a separable filter is equivalent to two 1D convolutions (with the "column" and "row" filters).
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If the image has $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{M}$ pixels and the filter kernel has size $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ :

- What is the cost of convolution with a non-separable filter?


## Separable filters

A 2D filter is separable if it can be written as the product of a "column" and a "row".


2D convolution with a separable filter is equivalent to two 1D convolutions (with the "column" and "row" filters).

If the image has $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{M}$ pixels and the filter kernel has size $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ :

- What is the cost of convolution with a non-separable filter? $\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2} \times \mathrm{N}^{2}$
- What is the cost of convolution with a separable filter?


## Separable filters

A 2D filter is separable if it can be written as the product of a "column" and a "row".


2D convolution with a separable filter is equivalent to two 1D convolutions (with the "column" and "row" filters).

If the image has $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{M}$ pixels and the filter kernel has size $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ :

- What is the cost of convolution with a non-separable filter?



## A few more filters


original

$3 \times 3$ box filter
do you see any problems in this image?

## The Gaussian filter

- named (like many other things) after Carl Friedrich Gauss
- kernel values sampled from the 2D Gaussian function:

$$
f(i, j)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{i^{2}+j^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$



- weight falls off with distance from center pixel
- theoretically infinite, in practice truncated to some maximum distance

Any heuristics for selecting where to truncate?

## The Gaussian filter

- named (like many other things) after Carl Friedrich Gauss
- kernel values sampled from the 2D Gaussian function:

$$
f(i, j)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{i^{2}+j^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

- weight falls off with distance from center pixel
- theoretically infinite, in practice truncated to some maximum distance


Is this a separable filter?

| kernel | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{16} \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |

Any heuristics for selecting where to truncate?

- usually at 2-3б


## The Gaussian filter

- named (like many other things) after Carl Friedrich Gauss
- kernel values sampled from the 2D Gaussian function:

$$
f(i, j)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{i^{2}+j^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

- weight falls off with distance from center pixel
- theoretically infinite, in practice truncated to some maximum distance


Is this a separable filter? Yes!

| kernel | $\frac{1}{16}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |

kernel
16

Any heuristics for selecting where to truncate?

- usually at 2-3o


## Gaussian filtering example



## Gaussian vs box filtering


original

Which blur do you like better?


## Gaussian vs box filtering


original

Which blur do you like better?

7x7 Gaussian

$7 x 7$ box

How would you create a soft shadow effect?

## CMU <br> 

## How would you create a soft shadow effect?

## CMU <br>  <br> overlay <br> Gaussian blur

## Other filters

input

filter

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

output

## ?

## Other filters

input

filter

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

output

unchanged

## Other filters

input

filter

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

input

output

output
?

## Other filters

| input |
| :--- |
| filter output0 0 0 <br> 0 1 0 <br> 0 0 0 |


filter

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

output

shift to left
by one

## Other filters

input

filter

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |$-\frac{1}{9}$| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

output
?

## Other filters



- do nothing for flat areas
- stress intensity peaks


## Sharpening examples



## Sharpening examples



## Sharpening examples



## Sharpening examples


do you see any problems in this image?

## Do not overdo it with sharpening


original

sharpened

oversharpened

## Image gradients

## What are image edges?


grayscale image


## Detecting edges

How would you go about detecting edges in an image (i.e., discontinuities in a function)?

## Detecting edges

How would you go about detecting edges in an image (i.e., discontinuities in a function)?
$\checkmark \quad$ You take derivatives: derivatives are large at discontinuities.

How do you differentiate a discrete image (or any other discrete signal)?

## Detecting edges

How would you go about detecting edges in an image (i.e., discontinuities in a function)?
$\checkmark \quad$ You take derivatives: derivatives are large at discontinuities.

How do you differentiate a discrete image (or any other discrete signal)?
$\checkmark \quad$ You use finite differences.

## Finite differences

High-school reminder: definition of a derivative using forward difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

## Finite differences

High-school reminder: definition of a derivative using forward difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

Alternative: use central difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+0.5 h)-f(x-0.5 h)}{h}
$$

For discrete signals: Remove limit and set $\mathrm{h}=2$

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+1)-f(x-1)}{2} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { What convolution kernel } \\
& \text { does this correspond to? }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finite differences

High-school reminder: definition of a derivative using forward difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

Alternative: use central difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+0.5 h)-f(x-0.5 h)}{h}
$$

For discrete signals: Remove limit and set $\mathrm{h}=2$

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+1)-f(x-1)}{2}
$$

| -1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $?$ |  |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |

## Finite differences

High-school reminder: definition of a derivative using forward difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

Alternative: use central difference

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+0.5 h)-f(x-0.5 h)}{h}
$$

For discrete signals: Remove limit and set $\mathrm{h}=2$

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+1)-f(x-1)}{2}
$$

1D derivative filter

| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## The Sobel filter

| 1 | 0 | -1 | = | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | -2 |  | 2 | * | 1D derivative filter |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Sobel filter |  |  |  | $\text { at } \mathrm{f}$ |  |  |  |  |

## The Sobel filter



Does this filter return large responses on vertical or horizontal lines?

## The Sobel filter

Horizontal Sober filter:


What does the vertical Sobel filter look like?

## The Sobel filter

Horizontal Sober filter:

| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | -2 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |



Vertical Sobel filter:

| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -2 | -1 |$=$| 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |
| -1 |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |$\quad * \quad$

## Sobel filter example


original

which Sobel filter?

which Sobel filter?

## Sobel filter example


original

horizontal Sobel filter

vertical Sobel filter

## Sobel filter example


original

horizontal Sobel filter

vertical Sobel filter

## Several derivative filters

Sobel

| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | -2 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |


| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -2 | -1 |

Scharr

| 3 | 0 | -3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | -10 |
| 3 | 0 | -3 |


| 3 | 10 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3 | -10 | -3 |

Prewitt | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |

| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 |

Roberts

| 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 |


| 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -1 |

- How are the other filters derived and how do they relate to the Sobel filter?
- How would you derive a derivative filter that is larger than $3 \times 3$ ?


## Computing image gradients

1. Select your favorite derivative filters.

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{x}=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline 2 & 0 & -2 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{y}=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline-1 & -2 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Computing image gradients

1. Select your favorite derivative filters.

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{x}=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline 2 & 0 & -2 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{y}=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline-1 & -2 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

2. Convolve with the image to compute derivatives.

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial x}=\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{f} \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial y}=\boldsymbol{S}_{y} \otimes \boldsymbol{f}
$$

## Computing image gradients

1. Select your favorite derivative filters.

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{x}=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline 2 & 0 & -2 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$\boldsymbol{S}_{y}=$| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | -2 | -1 |

2. Convolve with the image to compute derivatives.

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial x}=\boldsymbol{S}_{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{f} \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial y}=\boldsymbol{S}_{y} \otimes \boldsymbol{f}
$$

3. Form the image gradient, and compute its direction and amplitude.

$$
\nabla \boldsymbol{f}=\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial y}\right] \quad \theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \quad\|\nabla f\|=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}
$$

## Image gradient example

original

vertical derivative

gradient amplitude


How does the gradient direction relate to these edges?

## How do you find the edge of this signal?



## How do you find the edge of this signal?



Using a derivative filter:


What's the problem here?

## Differentiation is very sensitive to noise

When using derivative filters, it is critical to blur first!


How much should we blur?

## Derivative of Gaussian (DoG) filter

Derivative theorem of convolution: $\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h \star f)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h\right) \star f$



- How many operations did we save?


## Laplace filter

Basically a second derivative filter.

- We can use finite differences to derive it, as with first derivative filter.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { first-order } \\
\text { finite difference }
\end{gathered} f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+0.5 h)-f(x-0.5 h)}{h} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

second-order
finite difference

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-2 f(x)+f(x-h)}{h^{2}} \longrightarrow
$$

## Laplace filter

Basically a second derivative filter.

- We can use finite differences to derive it, as with first derivative filter.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { first-order } \\
\text { finite difference }
\end{gathered} f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+0.5 h)-f(x-0.5 h)}{h} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { second-order } \\
\text { finite difference }
\end{gathered} f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-2 f(x)+f(x-h)}{h^{2}} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & -2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filter

As with derivative, we can combine Laplace filtering with Gaussian filtering


## Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filter

As with derivative, we can combine Laplace filtering with Gaussian filtering


## Laplace and LoG filtering examples



Laplacian of Gaussian filtering


Laplace filtering

## Laplacian of Gaussian vs Derivative of Gaussian



Laplacian of Gaussian filtering


Derivative of Gaussian filtering

## Laplacian of Gaussian vs Derivative of Gaussian



Laplacian of Gaussian filtering


Derivative of Gaussian filtering

Zero crossings are more accurate at localizing edges (but not very convenient).


